PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P04001	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416						
International application No. PCT/NO2005/000017	International filing date (day/mont) 13.01.2005	hyear) Priority date (day/month/year) 14.01.2004						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C01B33/187								
Applicant COD TECHNOLOGIES A.S. et al.								
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.								
2. This REPORT consists of a total c	of 4 sheets, including this cover	sheet.						
	s report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:							
a. sent to the applicant and to								
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).								
☐ sheets which supersed beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box.	beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the							
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).								
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:								
Box No. I Basis of the opin	☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion							
☐ Box No. II Priority	Box No. II Priority							
☐ Box No. III Non-establishme	lox No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
•	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; cital	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	Certain defects in the international application							
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application								
Date of submission of the demand	Date of	completion of this report						
12.07.2005	28.02.	2006						
Name and mailing address of the international		red Officer						
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office		and the second s						
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		ke, H						
		ne No. +49 89 2399-8564						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

10/585475 International application No. PCT/NO2005/000017

JAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 07 JUL 2006

	Box No. I Basis of the report				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	 □ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: □ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) □ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) □ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) 						
	With regard to the elements* of the international appl have been furnished to the receiving Office in respons report as "onginally filed" and are not annexed to this	se to an inv	report is l itation und	based on <i>(replacei</i> ler Article 14 are re	ment sheets which eferred to in this		
	Description, Pages 1-15 as originally filed						
	Claims, Numbers						
. 1	1-26 as originally filed	٠	. **				
1	Drawings, Sheets						
1	1/3-3/3 as originally filed						
C	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - se	e Supplem	ental Box	Relating to Seque	nce Listing		
3. C	 ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellatio ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify) 						
. C	This report has been established as if (some of) to lad not been made, since they have been considered supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specifications)	to go beýo	nents anne nd the dis	exed to this report closure as filed, as	and listed below s indicated in the		
*	If item 4 applies, some or all of the	ese sheet	s may b	e marked "sup	erseded."		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-18 19-26

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No:

No: Claims

1-18 1-26

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

10/585475 International application No.

PCT/NO2005/000017

Item V:

AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 07 JUL 2006

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

D1: US-A-5780005 D2: US 2003/0066459

The claims, in particular claim 1, but also other claims, e.g. 2, 4, 8 are not clear in their precise meaning due to the extremely frequent use of the words "preferably", "optionally"; further unclarities arise due to the unclear wording "or other suitable aluminate(s) (e.g. claims 2, 14), "may be", "might" (claim 17), "according to product requirements" (claim 1), "until sufficient amount is obtained" (claim 7), "until suitably pure" (claim 7); claims 25 and 26 do not refer to any preceding claim at all. In line 22 of claim 1 the meaning of "dispersion of silica slurry" is completely obscure (a slurry is already dispersed); in line 8 of claim 1, if "preferably mixing..." does not occur, it is unclear what happens then. In this situation it is completely vague which process steps are essential and under which precise conditions, and which are only optional or preferable.

Therefore a detailed comparison with the art at present is not meaningful.

As far as understandable, the documents D1 and D2 cited appear to be relevant for the process, and the product and applications. It is unclear in which precise product parameters the claimed product in claims 19-23 departs over known SiO2 products, in particular those made from olivine. It is assumed that also in the known processes of D1 or D2 the object mentioned at page 3, II. 9-13 of the application is solved. If not, the applicant will have to make it clear due to which precise difference in the process, which problem is solved which has not already ex- or implicitly been solved in the art.

Moreover, only if the product claimed convincingly could be shown to depart over known SiO2, then special applications could be claimed, since in principle the mentioned applications (uses) in claims 24-26 are standard uses for SiO2.